

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/584,121	02/04/2009	Nazir Khan	GJE.7660	9857	
2357 SALIWANCHIK, LLOYD & EISENSCHENK A PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION PO Box 142950 GANISYULLE, FL 32614			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			HIGGINS, GERARD T		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
GAIRTESTILL	OMINIO (ILLE, I E 52014		1785		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/01/2011	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail $\,$ address(es):

euspto@slepatents.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/584,121	KHAN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
GERARD HIGGINS	1785	

- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -

Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be wailable under the provisions of 37 oFt I, 139(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - IN Operiod or reply is spended above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (36 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, event if interly filled, may report any					
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 June 2006.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on					
; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action.					
4) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
5)⊠ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.					
5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
6) ☐ Claim(s)is/are allowed.					
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
9)☑ Claim(s) 1-23 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Coo and analogica dominar control action for a not of the definition copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Ir formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC/S5/00) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)					

Application/Control Number: 10/584,121 Page 2

Art Unit: 1785

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-9, drawn to a first ink formulation.

Group II, claim(s) 10 and 11, drawn to a method of using a first ink formulation.

Group III, claim(s) 12-21, drawn to a second ink formulation.

Group IV, claim(s) 22 and 23, drawn to a method of using a second ink formulation.

The groups of inventions listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The International Search report shows that the all of the technical features of applicants' Groups I-II, claims 1-11, were known to one of ordinary skill. This means that the claims do not possess a common special technical feature that, taken as a whole, provides a contribution over the prior art; hence, the Groups I and II lack unity of invention. With regard to Groups III and IV, the references cited in the international search report establish a *prima facie* case that unity is lacking for these claims as well, for example the sucrose and Fe $_2O_3$ indicated on page 3 of WO 02/068205 would read on the technical features of the claims.

Applicants are reminded, as noted in the IPRP that claims 12-23 do not appear to possess support in the specification as originally filed.

 Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the Application/Control Number: 10/584,121

Art Unit: 1785

requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention or species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions have unity of invention (37 CFR 1.475(a)), applicant must provide reasons in support thereof. Applicant may submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. Where such evidence or admission is provided by applicant, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim.

Art Unit: 1785

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
examiner should be directed to GERARD HIGGINS whose telephone number is
(571)270-3467. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10am-8pm est.
(Variable one work-at-home day).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Ruthkosky can be reached on 571-272-1291. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/GERARD T. HIGGINS/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1785 GERARD T. HIGGINS Primary Examiner Art Unit 1785